

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/005,148	Applicant(s) JACOBUS HOFES ET AL.	
	Examiner Adrienne C. Johnstone	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.

(3) _____.

 (2) Christopher Brown.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

references cited on IDS filed 08 November 2004

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants supplied the missing author and publisher for the NPL reference and explained that the NPL reference was cited in an opposition proceeding in Japan as evidence of prior art techniques for suppression of byproducts such as diethylene glycol in processing of polyethylene terephthalate.
